



# SOUTHWOLD TOWN COUNCIL

## MEETING NOT QUORATE

Notes of Planning Committee Meeting held on **Tuesday 19<sup>th</sup> November 2024 at 18:00.**

Present; Cllrs Gladwell, Goldsmith, Hurr.

Also, the Town Clerk.

The meeting was not quorate so recommendations to be ratified by Full Council

### **1 - Apologies:**

To receive and approve apologies for absence - Apologies were received from Cllrs Flunder, Palmer, Temple. Approved.

### **2 - Declarations of interest:**

a. To receive any declarations of Disclosable Pecuniary Interest regarding the agenda.

Nil

b. To receive any declarations of Other Registerable Interests regarding the agenda. Nil

c. To receive any declarations of Non-Registerable Interest. Nil

d. To receive any request for dispensations regarding the agenda. Nil

e. To receive details of any lobbying to members. NIL

### **3 - To approve the Minutes of the meeting held on Tuesday 8th October 2024.**

Planning Committee Minutes – 22nd October 2024 - **The minutes of the meeting of 22<sup>nd</sup> October were recommended for approval by all.**

### **4 - To receive comments from the public on matters on the agenda**

(each will be allowed a maximum of 3 minutes – to a total maximum of 10 minutes).

Nil

### **5 - Planning Matters:**

a. To determine the Town Council response to the following applications:

*DC/24/3755/FUL - The Haven, 3 Trinity Close* - Whilst the Town Council has no objection to the materials being suggested, nor to the design, it requests that a condition be placed on the garage to confirm that it can only be used as a room which is ancillary to the main house.

*DC/24/3369/FUL - 6 Dunwich Road*

The Town Council has the following comments: There is no Design and Access statement – this would have been very helpful, especially as no pre – app has been submitted.

The following queries arise from the plans; How close is the extension to the boundary? What is the colour of the timber cladding? Where is the extraction for the toilet – is there a better position for the toilet rather than being created in the kitchen? The town council would request that this be explored further. Why are 3 velux windows being requested – this request is considered too excessive and would create a design/ building which is out of character for the area. Without the information available as above – the Town Council is unable to recommend that ESC support the application at this stage.

*DC/24/3732/FUL - 55 Pier Avenue* – There were no objections to this application.

*DC/24/3592/FUL - 5 Hotson Road* - The Town Council has the following comments; It notes that no Design and Access statement has been provided which would have been able to provide substance to the plans. The cladding being suggested is not in keeping with the area. Most of the houses in this location are rendered, or brick, or on those that have some cladding there are horizontal slats (the request for this application is vertical cladding). If cladding is to be agreed, then the town council would request that this be horizontal slats. The design change to the front upstairs windows is not detailed on any plan – what are the new materials to be and what is the size of the window? The suggested new roof material is not in keeping for a residential property within this residential road. Red pantiles would be more in keeping for this area. In view of the above the Town Council would suggest that ESC refuses this application at this stage.

*DC/24/3788/ADN - 67 High Street* – There were no objections to this application.

- b. ESC decisions – Noted.
- c. Applications to ESC Committee. Coach House in Dec 2024.
- d. Any Planning Inspectorate Appeals Lodged. Nil.
- e. Any licence applications/decisions to consider; Buckenham Wine Bar, 81 High Street – no objections.
- f. Any consultations to consider. Nil

**6 - Date of next Planning and Development Committee Meeting:**  
Tuesday 26<sup>th</sup> November 2024 at 6.30pm

Signed ..... Dated .....